UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	DISTE	RICT OF ARIZONA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Margarito Tejeda-Hernandez		Case Number: <u>11-01198M-001</u>
present and wa	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 as represented by counsel. I conclude by e defendant pending trial in this case.	142(f), a detention hearing was held on January 18, 2011. Defendant was a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a prep	oonderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
⊠		nited States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
\boxtimes		ged offense, was in the United States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant conta	acts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.	
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law	enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	fyears imprisonment.
The Co	ourt incorporates by reference the materi he hearing in this matter, except as note	al findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court d in the record.
		NCLUSIONS OF LAW
1.	There is a serious risk that the defenda	ant will flee.
2.	No condition or combination of condition	ons will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
		NS REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections fa appeal. The de of the United S	acility separate, to the extent practicable, the fendant shall be afforded a reasonable of tates or on request of an attorney for the	ne Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending apportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	APPEALS	AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT IS C deliver a copy of Court.	DRDERED that should an appeal of this of the motion for review/reconsideration to	detection order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to prethal Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
Services suffic	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to iently in advance of the hearing before t potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATE: <u>Janu</u>	ary 18, 2011	JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge